

Planning

Proposal Title : Proposed residential rezoning for part Lot 3 DP 270533, Coffs Harbour				
Proposal Summary :	A single allotment of rural zoned land off Dress Circle, Coffs Harbour (The Summit), is proposed to be rezoned to residential to facilitate residential development of the site.			
PP Number :	PP_2013_COFFS_001_00	Dop File No :	13/09042	
posal Details			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date Planning Proposal Received :	24-May-2013	LGA covered :	Coffs Harbour	
Region :	Northern	RPA:	Coffs Harbour City Council	
State Electorate :	COFFS HARBOUR	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
ocation Details				
Street : Dr	ess Circle			
Suburb : Co	offs Harbour City :	Coffs Harbour	Postcode: 2450	
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 3 DP 270533			
oP Planning Off	icer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Luke Blandford			
Contact Number :	0266416614			
Contact Email :	luke.blandford@planning.nsw.go	ov.au		
RPA Contact Deta				
Contact Name :	Grahame Fry			
Contact Number :	0266484654			
Contact Email :	grahame.fry@chcc.nsw.gov.au			
	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Jim Clark			
Contact Number :	0266416604			
Contact Rumber .	jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au			
and Release Dat	а			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Mid North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

oposed residential r			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.14	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	1	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	1
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	The final Draft Coffs Harbour Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 is currently with the Department and should be published in June 2013. Accordingly the Planning Proposal seeks to amend the applicable provisions under this Draft LEP.		
	studies being require	d from a larger residential release due d In regard to servicing, bushfire pro al studies form part of the planning p	tection and visual amenity due to
	incorporates land zor	ot relate to the entire parcel for Lot 3 ned E2 Environmental Conservation a rendment is sought to these zoned ar	and R1 General Residential under
dequacy Assessme	nt		
Statement of the ol	bjectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the o	bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objectives and addressed.	d intended outcome of the proposal a	re provided and adequately
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s	55(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pr	rovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The planning prop objectives and inte	oosal provides a clear explanation of ended outcomes.	the provisions to achieve the

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones
	2.2 Coastal Protection
* May need the Director General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

		4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required?	? Yes
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) C	Order 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have t	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection North Coast REP 1988
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	_	for residential purposes is consistent with the Mid North Coast and Council's local settlement strategy 'Our Living City Settlement
	In addition to the S	117 Directions listed by the RPA, the following directions also apply:
		nd Use and Transport, and on of Regional Strategies
	In addition to the S	EPPs listed by the RPA, the following SEPPs also apply:
	- SEPP (Rural Land	is) 2008
		these additional directions and SEPP is undertaken under his planning report.
lave inconsistencies w		
ave moonalateriolea W	nth items a), b) and d) bei	ing adequately justified? Yes
f No, explain :	nth items a), b) and d) bei	ing adequately justified? Yes
f No, explain :		ing adequately justified? Yes
	s55(2)(d)	ing adequately justified? Yes
f No, explain : apping Provided - s mapping provided? Y	s55(2)(d) ⁄es	ing adequately justified? Yes
f No, explain : apping Provided - s mapping provided? Y	s55(2)(d) /es The maps provided rezoning. Council should pro	d adequately identify the location of the site and the proposed ovide maps which identify both the current and proposed zones for the comprehensive Standard Instrument LEP as part of any future
f No, explain : apping Provided -	s55(2)(d) Yes The maps provided rezoning. Council should pro site under its draft exhibition package Furthermore, revis and Building Heigh	d adequately identify the location of the site and the proposed ovide maps which identify both the current and proposed zones for the comprehensive Standard Instrument LEP as part of any future
f No, explain : apping Provided - s mapping provided? Y	s55(2)(d) Yes The maps provided rezoning. Council should pro site under its draft exhibition package Furthermore, revis and Building Heigh Requirements for L	d adequately identify the location of the site and the proposed ovide maps which identify both the current and proposed zones for the comprehensive Standard Instrument LEP as part of any future b. ed maps which indicate all proposed LEP amendments (ie. Lot Size nt) and which comply with the Department's 'Standard Technical
f No, explain : apping Provided - s mapping provided? Y Comment : ommunity consult	s55(2)(d) Yes The maps provided rezoning. Council should pro site under its draft exhibition package Furthermore, revis and Building Heigh Requirements for L	d adequately identify the location of the site and the proposed ovide maps which identify both the current and proposed zones for the comprehensive Standard Instrument LEP as part of any future b. ed maps which indicate all proposed LEP amendments (ie. Lot Size and which comply with the Department's 'Standard Technical _EP Maps' will be prepared for the making of the LEP.
f No, explain : apping Provided - s mapping provided? Y Comment : ommunity consult Has community consult	s55(2)(d) Yes The maps provided rezoning. Council should pro site under its draft exhibition package Furthermore, revis and Building Heigh Requirements for L ation - s55(2)(e)	d adequately identify the location of the site and the proposed ovide maps which identify both the current and proposed zones for the comprehensive Standard Instrument LEP as part of any future b. ed maps which indicate all proposed LEP amendments (ie. Lot Size and which comply with the Department's 'Standard Technical _EP Maps' will be prepared for the making of the LEP.
f No, explain : apping Provided - s mapping provided? Y Comment : ommunity consult	s55(2)(d) Yes The maps provided rezoning. Council should pro site under its draft exhibition package Furthermore, revis and Building Heigh Requirements for L ation - s55(2)(e) tation been proposed? Ye The planning prop period. A 14 day consultat	d adequately identify the location of the site and the proposed ovide maps which identify both the current and proposed zones for the comprehensive Standard Instrument LEP as part of any future a. ed maps which indicate all proposed LEP amendments (ie. Lot Size nt) and which comply with the Department's 'Standard Technical LEP Maps' will be prepared for the making of the LEP.

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	 The planning proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by: Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the Planning Proposal to achieve the outcomes. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal. Outlining a community consultation program which includes public exhibition of the proposal for a period of 14 days Providing an appropraite timeline which indicates the proposed instrument will be made within less than 12 months. Indicating that Council will accept delegations to finalise the proposal.
Proposal Assessment	information are next to information the
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : June 2013	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The final draft Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 is currently with the Department for publication.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning	The planning proposal is a result of Council's settlement strategy which identifies the
proposal :	subject land for urban investigation. It is also located within the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy growth boundary and identified for future urban development.
	The land forms part of a large development parcel on a scenic ridgetop which has been released for community title residential development. The land encompasses 1,400m2 and
	is located in an area with a 1,200m2 minimum lot size. The site can therefore
	accommodate one dwelling only. It was deferred from rezoning however, due to additional site specific studies being required relating to servicing, bushfire and visual
	impact due to the slope of the land. These additional studies have been undertaken and form part of the planning proposal package. Rezoning the site will provide the residential use of the site consistent with the adjoining residential land.
	The proposed amendment to the LEP is the most appropriate means of achieving the outcome for the proposal and will result in a community benefit through the creation of jobs during construction and land for residential use.

Consistency withThe site is identified for potential residential development under the Mid North Coaststrategic planningRegional Strategy and Council's local settlement strategy. The proposal is consistent withframework :these strategies.

The proposal is consistent with the provisions of all applicable SEPPs relevant to the site. Any identified inconsistency is considered to be minor and justified, as detailed below.

SEPP 55

The land is identified to potentially contain contaminated soils, given that the land has historically been used for agricultural (banana growing) purposes. SEPP 55 is therefore applicable. Further investigation relating to potential contamination is proposed to be undertaken following the gateway determination or at DA stage. This is considered appropriate given the historical land uses of the site.

SEPP (Rural Lands)

SEPP (Rural Lands) is applicable as the land is currently zoned rural. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the SEPP given that the proposal is consistent with the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy. It is also identified within Council's local settlement strategy. The land is not suitable for agricultural use due to its size and location with adjoining residential land.

Section 117 Directions

The proposal is considered to be generally consistent with all relevant s117 Directions, including:

- 1.5 Rural Lands
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

It is noted that the RPA has consulted with the NSW Rural Fire Service in relation to the proposed rezoning who have raised no objection to the proposed rezoning. Further liaison with the RFS as part of the rezoning process is therefore not considered to be required.

Inconsistencies with S117 Direction 1.2 Rural Lands and 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils are considered to be minor and justified as discussed below.

1.2 Rural Zones

The planning proposal is not consistent with this direction as it seeks to rezone land from rural to residential. The rezoning is consistent with the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy, which identifies the land for future residential development. The inconsistency with the direction is therefore justified

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

The land is identified to contain acid sulfate soils under Council's mapping and therefore an Acid Sulfate Soil Assessment and Management Plan is required in accordance with this direction. An Acid Sulfate Soil Assessment and Management Plan does not form part of the planning proposal package and therefore the proposal is inconsistent with this direction. The (draft) Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 contains adequate provisions to ensure that the site is properly managed in relation to acid sulfate soils at the development application stage. The inconsistency with this direction is considered to be minor and justified.

Environmental social economic impacts :

The land is generally cleared with a pocket of mature vegetation existing onsite. A biodiversity assessment has been prepared which confirms that there is no critical habitat, threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats which would be impacted by the proposal. Notwithstanding, the assessment recommends that any potential impacts due to clearing onsite could be offset through replanting native species within the environmentally protected zoned land to the north of the subject land. This matter can be addressed at development application stage.

A Bushfire Hazard Assessment has been prepared which confirms that residential use of the site is consistent with NSW Planning for Bushfire Protection. RFS have reviewed the bushfire assessment and have raised no objection to the proposed rezoning.

Acid sulfate soils and potential contamination management can be considered at development application stage.

A Water Supply and Sewerage Service Assessment has been undertaken which has confirmed that the site can be adequately serviced through proposed extensions to existing utility supplies.

Lot size and building height provisions are proposed to ensure development of the site is consistent with the surrounding land uses and visual character of the local area.

The proposal will generate potential economic and social benefits, albeit minor given the size of the site, through job opportunities created during construction and assisting in meeting regional dwelling targets.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 Month	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(o	i)			
Is Public Hearing by t	he PAC required? No			
(2)(a) Should the mat	ter proceed ? Yes			
If no, provide reasons				
Resubmission - s56(2	2)(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additiona	I studies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reas	ons :			
Identify any internal c	onsultations, if required :			
No internal consulta	tion required			
Is the provision and f If Yes, reasons :	unding of state infrastructure releva	nt to this plan? No		
cuments	a service provident to	and the second second	all-davit	an the
Document File Name		DocumentType Na	ame	Is Public
	Council_24-05-2013_Planning	Proposal Coverin	g Letter	Yes
Proposal Cover Lett Planning Proposal.p		Proposal Proposal		Yes Yes

Revised Bush Fire Assessment (December 2012).pdf		Proposal	Yes	
Rural Fire Service correspondence.pdf Information Checklist.pdf Criteria for Delegation.pdf Locality map.pdf		Proposal	No No	
		Proposal Proposal	No	
		Map	No	
	nondation			
ning Team Recomm				
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this stage :	Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones			
	2.2 Coastal Protection			
	3.1 Residential Zones			
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils			
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect	ion		
Additional Information 🗄	It is recommended that:			
	1. The planning proposal should proceed as a "routine" planning proposal.			
	2. A community consultation period of 14 days is necessary.			
	3. The planning proposal is to be completed within 12 months.			
	-	te agree that the inconsistencies we as and 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils are just		
		which identify both the current an aft Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 as part		
	6. Delegation to finalise the Plan	ning Proposal be issued to the Co	uncil.	
Supporting Reasons :	The reasons for the above recom	mendation for the planning propos	al are as follows:	
	1. The planning proposal is cons strategy and the Mid North Coa	sistent with Council's approved loc ast Regional Strategy.	al settlement	
	2. The inconsistencies of the pro minor significance.	pposal with the S117 directions are	of	
	3. The proposal is otherwise con planning strategies, section 11	sistent with all relevant local and a 7 Directions and SEPPs	egional	
Signature:	22		X*	

8	
20 A 1 A 20	